

## **UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/08/2002

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 101361-0043 1957 Robert Dolan 09/884,451 06/19/2001 05/08/2002 7590 NUTTER, MCCLENNEN & FISH, LLP **EXAMINER** Reza Mollaaghababa MALDONADO, JULIO J One International Place Boston, MA 02110-2699 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2823

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	09/884,451	DOLAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Julio J. Maldonado	2823
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>19 September 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).		
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.		Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/884,451

Art Unit: 2823

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakaguchi et al. (U.S. 6,313,014).

In reference to claims 1-5 and 12-13, Sakaguchi et al. (Fig.1-5) in a related method to form buried oxide films teach placing the substrate (23) into a vacuum chamber; evacuating the vacuum chamber to a first pressure; introducing hydrogen into the vacuum chamber, said hydrogen is a surface inhibiting agent; and implanting ions into the substrate (23) to form a buried oxide layer under a top silicon layer (22), where the fluid inhibits formations of threading dislocations in the top silicon layer (22) for reducing a defect density of the processed substrate; measuring a decrease in the ion beam current level due to the fluid on the chamber; adjusting the fluid level based upon the measured ion beam current; and selecting the fluid from fluids that inhibit formations of threading dislocations in the top silicon layer (22) for reducing a defect density of the processed substrate (23) (column 2, line 53 – column 16, line 25).

Application/Control Number: 09/884,451

Art Unit: 2823

In reference to claims 8-11, Sakaguchi et al. teach controlling the amount of fluid introduced into the vacuum chamber based upon a parameter measured in the chamber, said parameter consisting from the group consisting of ion concentration and temperature; where the parameter further comprises a measurement of an ion beam current comprising a decrease in the beam current due to fluid in the chamber (column 2, line 53 – column 16, line 25).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakaguchi et al. ('014).

In reference to claims 6 and 7, Sakaguchi et al. substantially teach all aspects of the invention but fail to teach the first pressure is less than about 1x10<sup>-5</sup> Torr and a second pressure less than about 1x10<sup>-3</sup>.

The selection of the claimed ranges is obvious because it is a matter of determining optimum process condition by routine experimentation with a limited number of species. In re Jones, 162 USPQ 224 (CCPA 1955)(the selection of optimum ranges within prior art general conditions is obvious) and In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)(discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in a known process is obvious).

Art Unit: 2823

#### Conclusion

5. Papers related to this application may be submitted directly to Art Unit 2823 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Art Unit 2823 via the Art Unit 2823 Fax Center located in Crystal Plaza 4, room 3C23. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center number is (703) 305-3432. The Art Unit 2823 Fax Center is to be used only for papers related to Art Unit 2823 applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio J. Maldonado at (703) 306-0098 and between the hours of 8:00 AM to 4:00 PM (Eastern Standard Time) Monday through Friday or by email via julio.maldonado@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy, can be reached on (703) 308-4918.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 2800 Receptionist at (703) 308-0956.

Julio J. Maldonado

Patent Examiner Art Unit 2823 703-306-0098

julio.maldonado@uspto.gov

SUPERVISORY PRIMARY 5% TECHNOLOGY CENTER